Regular York Town Board Meeting April 13, 2017 7:30 p.m.

Present: Supervisor Gerald L. Deming, Councilmembers: Lynn Parnell, Amos Smith, Norman Gates and Frank Rose Jr.

Absent: None

Others: James Campbell (Town Attorney), Richard Henry (Engineer, Clark Patterson Lee), George Worden Jr. (Highway Supt.), Carl Peter (Zoning & Code Officer), Henry Fuller, Kirk Richenberg and Andy Walton.

Supervisor Deming opened the Regular Town Board Meeting at 7:35 p.m. and invited Councilman Rose to lead in the Pledge of Allegiance.

MINUTES

RESOLUTION offered by Mrs. Parnell and seconded by Mr. Smith to approve the minutes of the February 9th Board Meeting, February 22nd Public Hearing and Special Town Board Meeting, March 7th Work Session and Public Hearing. Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

PRIVILEGES OF THE FLOOR

1) Kirk Richenberg:

Mr. Richenberg asked questions pertaining to the Linwood Service Area:

- 1. Has the water tower and rest of the project gone to bid?
- Supervisor Deming replied, that it has not gone to bid as of yet, we need to prepare the paperwork and get a Bond Resolution completed.
- 2. Along the same lines, south of the tower is that in the district?
- Mr. Henry replied it is not in a water district.
- 3. When the district is formed will they be paying the new or old rate?
- Supervisor Deming replied the new rate, including the \$35.00 consolidated debt service charge. 4. There are hydrants on Peoria, why?
- Mr. Henry replied that the Health Department required hydrants for flushing purposes. 5. If not in a water district there, we will be paying for fire protection in that area?
- Mr. Henry answered we could call them out of service.
 - 6. Is there an agreement with Covington and the Town of York?
- Mr. Henry stated we know we have to, but not as of yet. Mr. Deming added we are not putting in the line, and until the line is ready to be dedicated to us, we have time.
 - 7. The line has to be put in to our specs correct?
- Mr. Henry and Supervisor Deming replied Yes it does, and has been thus far and will continue. 8. When this gets charged to the district (debt service) will this include DFA's engineering?

Mr. Henry replied that it will not, DFA has already paid for the engineering.

9. When numbers are finalized, those numbers are not included?

Mr. Henry answered once again that the numbers will not be included, it was part of DFA's project.

10. What was the decision on the witness signatures of the petition? Mr. Campbell stated after the question arose relating to the validity of the petitions for the Linwood Water Service Area, he researched the matter. New York State Election Law § 6-140 1.b sets forth requirements for petitions and states that there shall be at the bottom of each petition sheet a signed statement of a witness who is a qualified voter of the State.

11. The witnesses who signed the petition sheets were not residents of that district? Mr. Campbell commented in case law he reviewed pertaining to petitions for the formation of districts, as long as any defects do not undermine the content of the petition and do not create prejudice or fraud, the conclusion was that the petitions were valid.

12. The witness who signed the petition for the Linwood Rd. North Extension actually lived in that district ?

Mr. Campbell responded that is correct, but again referenced that the error at the bottom of the petitions was not a fatal flaw that would invalidate any of the signatures. It does not present an opportunity for prejudice or fraud. The residents who knowingly signed the petitions in good faith are duly qualified voters of the State of New York, and feels the petitions meet the legal requirements.

Mr. Fuller asked to address the Board on this topic as well.

1. On the petitions, where did the information come from?

Mr. Henry replied that the information was prepared by Clark Patterson. Mr. Fuller continued by saying that he has someone working on this situation and feels this is a case of fraud. Attorney Campbell replied in this circumstance there is not a necessary Act of Fraud. In order to establish Fraud, there would have to be proof that the district was willfully misled rather than what actually took place which was a mistake. Mr. Deming added he does not feel that anyone who signed the petition had an issue doing so, they understood the details of the debt service information and were comfortable with it, and pleased to be getting water. Mr. Fuller commented the Town Board was planning on obtaining these signatures but turned it over to Mr. Grasso, and feels he made a mistake by doing them, according to how the petition reads at the bottom.

Mr. Richenberg continued with his Privileges of the Floor:

Mr. Richenberg asked what the status was in regards to the discussion held by the Board and Mr. Worden previously pertaining to piping manure under the roads.

Mr. Worden replied that he would like the Town to adopt a policy as the County has, which has worked very well for many years. Mr. Richenberg asked what the role would be of the Highway Department. Mr. Worden stated that the only responsibility we want to be involved in would be the permit process, in order to know whom the applicants are. A permit allows the farmer or contractor the capability to conduct the process legally.

Mr. Richenberg asked the Board if there is a way the Town can determine the amount on what has been spent from the start regarding High Impact Industrial Uses (Fracking), including the moratorium preparation? Supervisor Deming answered we can get that information. Mr. Richenberg followed by saying, he feels we need to cut our losses on it. Mr. Richenberg questioned, at the work session it was stated if the Town Board were to adopt a Ban, the State can override it? Mr. Campbell replied the State can always change or alter the Environmental

Conservation Law. Mr. Richenberg commented perhaps we should wait and see what happens with the State, and feels we should do nothing.

In regards to the solar arrays, Mr. Richenberg questioned how much money we need to put into a lawyer for a potential solar moratorium. We should not be spending so much in legal fees.

Mr. Richenberg addressed the work session once again that took place in November of last year, stating it was an illegal meeting based on documentation received by the Committee on Open Government. It was determined back in 1978 that Work Sessions are not legal meetings. Mr. Richenberg added, what he wants is for the Board to conduct actions in open sessions otherwise it looks as though they have something to hide. All Town business should be in public view.



State of New York Department of State Committee on Open Government

OML-AO-4596

April 9, 2008

E-MAIL

TO:

FROM: Robert J. Freeman, Executive Director

The staff of the Committee on Open Government j. authori, zed to issue acivi5ory opinions. The ensuing staff advisory opinion is based solely uoon the facts presented in your correspondence.

Dear

I have received your letter in which you referred to "workshop meetings" conducted by the Town of Wales and asked why there are no minutes prepared relating to those gatherings.

From my perspective, there is no legal distinction between a meeting characterized as "formal" and a so-called "workshop." In this regard, I offer the following comments.

Sy way of background, it is noted that the definition of "meeting" [Open Meetings Law, §102(1)] has been broadly interpreted by the courts. In a landmark decision rendered in 1978, the Court of Appeals, the state's highest court, found that arty gathering of a quorum of a public body for the purpose of conducting public business is a "meeting" that must be convened open to the public, whether or not there is an intent to take action and regardless of the manner in which a gathering may be characterized [see <u>Oranee County</u> <u>Publications v. Council of the City of Newburah</u>, 60 AD 2d 409, afrd 4544Y 2d 947 (1978)].

I point out that the decision rendered by the Court of Appeals was precipitated by contentions made by public bodies that so-called "work sessions" and similar gatherings held for the purpose of discussion, but without an intent to take action, fell outside the scope of the Open Meetings Law. In discussing the issue, the Appellate Division, whose determination was unanimously affirmed by the Court of Appeals, stated that:

"We believe that the Legislature intended to include more than the mere formal act of voting or the formal execution of an official document. Every step of the decision-making process, including the decision itself, is a necessary preliminary to formal action. Formal acts have always been matters of public record and the public has always been made aware of how its officials have voted on an issue. There would be no need for this Jaw if *this was* all *the* Legislature intended. Obviously, every thought, as well as every affirmative act of a public official as it relates to and is within the scope of one's official duties is a matter of public concern. It is the entire decision-making process that the Legislature intended to affect by the enactment of this statute" (60 AD 2d 409, 415).

The court also dealt with the characterization of meetings as "informal," stating that:

"The word 'formal' is defined merely as 'following or according with established form, custom, or rule' (Webster's Third New Int. Dictionary). We believe that it was inserted to safeguard the rights of members of a public body to engage in ordinary social transactions, but not to permit the use of this safeguard as a vehicle by which it predudes the application of the law to gatherings which have as their true purpose the CUSCLISSIQU orrae warless ctra pumic epay" mfr.

Based upon the direction given by the courts, if a majority of a public body gathers to discuss public business, any such gathering, in my opinion, would ordinarily constitute a "meeting" subject to the Open Meetings Law. Since a workshop held by a majority of a public body is a "meeting", it would have the same responsibilities in relation to *notice* and the taking of minutes as in the case of a formal meeting, as well as the same ability to enter into executive sessions.

With respect to minutes of "workshops", as well as other meetings, the Open Meetings Law contains what might be viewed as minimum requirements concerning the contents of minutes. Specifically, §106 of the Open Meetings Law states that:

"1. Minutes shall be taken at all open meetings of a public body which shall consist of a record or summary of all motions, proposals, resolutions and any other matter formally voted upon *and* the vote thereon.

2. Minutes shall be taken at executive sessions of any action that is taken by formal vote which shall consist of a record or summary of the final determination of such action, and the date and vote thereon; provided, however, that such summary need not indude any matter which is not required to be made public by the freedom of information law as added by article six of this chapter.

3. Minutes of meetings of all public bodies shall be available to the public in accordance with the provisions of the freedom of information law within two weeks from the date of such meetings except that minutes taken pursuant to subdivision two hereof shall be available to the public within one week from the date of the executive session."

Based upon the foregoing, it is clear in my view that minutes *need* not consist of a verbatim account of what was said at a meeting; similarly, there is no requirement that minutes refer to every topic discussed or identify those who may have spoken. Although a public body may choose to prepare expansive minutes, at a minimum, minutes of open meetings must include reference to all motions, proposals, resolutions and any other matters upon which votes are taken. If those kinds of actions, such as motions or votes, do not occur during workshops, technically I do not believe that minutes must be prepared.

Lastly, since the Open Meetings Law does not require the preparation of detailed or expansive minutes, I point out that it has been held that a member of the public may use a recording device at open meetings so long as the device is neither obtrusive nor disruptive.

I hope that I have been of assistance.

Mr. Richenberg asked Supervisor Deming why he has two separate email accounts. Supervisor Deming responded that the Town emails are forwarded to him when he is not in the office, due

to the fact he does not have access to those emails from his residence. The second email is a business one. Mr. Richenberg commented about the perception of two different emails.

2) Henry Fuller:

Mr. Fuller asked the Board about the \$35.00 Consolidated Water charge and if it will be accessed to the new district. Supervisor Deming answered that the new district will be paying the \$35.00 plus their debt service. Mr. Fuller questioned what the ceiling amount is for debt service allowable by the comptroller. Supervisor Deming replied \$900-\$950.00 he believes, but would have to verify to be certain.

Mr. Fuller addressed solar and the meeting he recently attended. He brought to the office for the Town Board a brochure on the session in order for others to attend as well (Supervisor Deming & Councilman Gates attended). The gentleman who gave the overall presentation was informative but the essence was one sided. If what he said was accurate, at \$1,600 per acre per year, he would love to know who may be receiving that kind of an agreement.

The agricultural industry has done enough damage to the ground over the years with fertilization and its run-off, and need to be reined in. A Solar Ban is ridiculous.

Supervisor Deming commented that the solar meeting was a good one, and the Attorney from Buffalo did very well. Mr. Deming added he has been supportive of solar but his major concern all along has been what happens years from now.

Mr. Fuller questioned the Board on the financial tracking of the recycling center, with no receipts being given. Supervisor Deming replied the people who ask for a receipt are able to obtain one, but to prepare one for every \$1.00 bag of garbage brought in, would be a great deal of work. Mr. Deming added anyone who has ever needed a receipt has been given one and usually it's the person who has cleaned out a building and needed it for business purposes. Mr. Fuller asked, in the budget it reflects \$40,000 taken in, how can you prove it. Supervisor Deming answered \$40,000 coming in, but roughly \$30,000-\$35,000 going out in expenses. We would have to generate tickets and ultimately allow only town residents, which would decrease the revenue.

Mr. Fuller asked if Highway Supt. Mr. Worden gets a retirement for being the Water/Sewer Supervisor, as well as the Highway Superintendent. Supervisor Deming answered that Mr. Worden receives retirement benefits strictly under Highway, not Water/Sewer.

HIGHWAY REPORT

Mr. Worden reported we briefly discussed earlier this evening the permitting for manure purposes. The main objective is to have a permit for any work conducted in the Right of Way. He will be using Livingston County's policy as a guide to prepare one for the Town of York, and should have something for the Board to review by next meeting. Councilman Smith asked if it includes temporary work and not altering infrastructure. Mr. Worden responded we need to know what's happening in our Right of Way.

Mr. Richenberg questioned if a fee will be charged for this permit, which Mr. Worden replied the County does not, all we need this for is tracking purposes. Mr. Worden added he feels this process is beneficial because it ultimately removes the continuation of truck traffic off of our roads.

Mr. Worden stated that the department has been busy after the March wind storm, picking up limbs and trees throughout the town. We also had to contend with a snow storm thereafter. The department has been cleaning ditches when weather permits and noticed that we may have to cut some ditches a bit deeper.

Mr. Worden asked if the Town will be conducting a brush cleanup day, which the Board stated we need to due to all of the limbs/trees down. Supervisor Deming asked Mr. Worden to schedule with the office staff a date that works for him and his crew.

Mr. Worden informed the Board after his yearly trip to Albany with fellow Highway Superintendents, there will be an increase in CHIPS funding, which is a bit more money from the gas tax.

Mr. Worden reported he has continued to have trouble with the dealership where he recently ordered the one-ton trucks previously approved by the Board. Mr. Worden stated he has made numerous calls but they won't return any. Supervisor Deming and the Board stated if this is the type of service, cancel the order. Mr. Worden commented he will have the paperwork for the next meeting to rebid. Mr. Campbell stated we should cancel the order in writing and have a resolution by the Board reflecting that decision.

RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Smith and seconded by Mr. Gates authorizing Highway Superintendent, Mr. Worden permission to cancel the order for (2) one-ton trucks at Van Bortel Ford. Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Smith and seconded by Mrs. Parnell authorizing Mr. Worden permission to re-bid for (2) one-ton trucks. Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

WATER/SEWER REPORT

Mr. Worden reported that we have not experienced any water issues recently, and commented that he has been watching the Linwood area project closely, making sure equipment and fittings used are what we currently have in place.

Mr. Worden addressed with the Board sewer lateral issues and the need to alter the Sewer Law. Mr. Worden stated he will review what other towns have in place in order to use as a reference. The Right of Way wording needs to spell out our responsibility and the homeowners. Mr. Worden reviewed with the Board in detail our current procedures. Supervisor Deming commented if we enter the property (basement), we could potentially end up with more liability, but ultimately it is a judgement call from case to case. Mr. Deming suggested preparing the sewer law the way the water is outlined. Mr. Worden agreed that would be his intention to model one with the other.

OLD BUSINESS

1) Farm Protection update:

Councilman Rose informed the Board that he was in contact with Rob Donnan recently. Mr. Donnan dropped off a document pertaining to Farm Use Road Policy. Mr. Rose stated that the committee members have reviewed and approved the content and now request the Board's review. (Clerk Harris made copies for each member). Mr. Rose stated Mr. Richenberg was welcome to a copy as well.

NEW BUSINESS

1) Resignation:

Supervisor Deming reported that the Town Clerk received on February 23rd a letter of resignation from Town of York Justice Walter Purtell. The effective date of the resignation was March 8, 2017. Attorney Campbell stated he will on behalf of the Town request that Judge Doran issue an administrative order making a temporary assignment, permitting Caledonia Justice Mark Riggi and Leicester Justice Richard White to reside over cases within our township as need arises.

2) Cold War Exemption (RPTL Section 458-b):

Attorney Campbell discussed with the Board the request made by the County to extend the existing Cold War Exemption on file. Mr. Campbell stated that the County and all surrounding towns adopted a Ten Year Local Law, in 2009 that expires in 2019, and asked that all towns contact their legislatures to support extension of or permanent continuation of the current exemption.

RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Smith and seconded by Mr. Gates authorizing Clerk Harris permission to prepare documentation supporting extension or permanent continuation of the Cold War Real Property Tax Exemption as provided in RPTL Section 458-b. Voted on and approved Yes-5, No-0.

b) RPTL Section 458-a:

Mr. Campbell also addressed the request by the County to have on file a second Veterans exemption (RPTL Section 458-a). The Town passed the first exemption by way of a Local Law back in 2008 (Effective for 2009), but did not do the second one. Mr. Campbell stated he has been in contact with the Liv. Co. Real Property office, and when the town proceeds this too will be done as a Local Law.

3) David Deuel correspondence:

Supervisor Deming reported that he received an email from David Deuel regarding a status update on ash tree infestation. The Board and Highway Superintendent Worden briefly discussed the matter, and commented that we need to review the areas in order to prepare a plan.

Supervisor Deming and Town Board

An update on ash tree infestation with EAB. Over the winter I have noticed 90%+ of our ash trees

showing signs of infestation and declining health. This is definitely a safety concern along roads and with utility lines. I urge the Board to be proactive in developing a plan and work with utility companies and property owners to address the issue along our town roads. I have marked the ash trees on our property along Casey and McPhearson Rd. with orange blaze.

It is my belief that if the Town came up with a policy and contacted utilities they would take action sooner than a property owner contacting the company. The infestation is heaviest at the north end of town. We have 3-5 years before the trees start to fall. Ash trees lose their structural strength very quickly after death.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

David Deuel

4) Water Service Restoration

a. 3295 Fowlerville Rd:

RESOLUTION offered by Mrs. Parnell and seconded by Mr. Smith to approve the Water Service Restoration request submitted by Brenda Grimes Dangler for property located at 3295
Fowlerville Road, in the amount of \$200.00. Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

5) York Fire Department: RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Smith and seconded by Mr. Rose to approve the following for membership to the York Fire Department:

> Zachary C. Parker-Tenth St. Edward "Ned" Edmonds-Mt. Pleasant Rd. Curtis V. Geiger-Chestnut St.

Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

** Note: Mr. Geiger also wanted the Board to know how well the Town, Town Highway Dept., Fire and EMS worked together during and after the emergency wind storm situation.

6) Transfers:

RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Gates and seconded by Mr. Smith to approve the transfers prepared by Baldwin Business Services:

TOWN OF YORK

PLEASE APPROVE THE FOLLOWING DUE TO'S/DUE FROM'S AT YOUR 04/13/2017 MEETING:

GENERAL FUND - TOWNWIDE

Due from:	Trust & Agency		\$ \$	28,968.93
	HRA - Insurance Acct.		ه 1.95	
		TOTAL:	\$	28,970.88
	HIGHWAY FUND - TOWNWIDE			
Due from:	Trust & Agency		\$ 7,324.61	
	HRA - Insurance Acct.		\$ 1.33	
		TOTAL:	\$ 7,325.94	
	Consolidated Water			
Due from:	Trust & Agency		\$ 1,796.17 \$	
	HRA - Insurance Acct.		پ 1.19	
		TOTAL:	\$ 1,797.36	
	Retsof			
Due from:	Trust & Agency		\$ 251.46	
	HRA - Insurance Acct.		\$ 0.30	
		TOTAL:	\$ 251.76	

Due from:		Trust & Agency HRA - Insurance Acct.		\$ 1,293.24 \$ 0.59	
			TOTAL:	\$ 1,293.83	
		<u>_SS2</u>			
Due from:		Trust & Agency HRA - Insurance Acct.		\$ 251.46 \$ 0.33	
			TOTAL:	\$ 251.79	
		TRUST & AGENCY			
Due to:	General	\$15,000.00 DD - AUD 2016 \$25.25 Interest - AUD 2016 \$13,936.68 Health Ins AUD 2016 \$7.00 Town Board - AUD 2016		\$ \$	28,968.93
		HRA Interest - AUD 2016		1.95	
Due to:	Highway	Health Insurance - AUD 2016 HRA - Insurance AUD 2016		\$ 7,324.61 \$ 1.33	
Due to:	Cons. Water	Health Insurance - AUD 2016		\$ 1,796.17 \$	
		HRA - Insurance AUD 2016		1.19	

Due to:	Retsof	Health Insurance - AUD 2016 HRA - Insurance AUD 2016		\$ 251.46 \$ 0.30	
Due to:	SS1	Health Insurance - AUD 2016 HRA - Insurance AUD 2016		\$ 1,293.24 \$ 0.59	
Due to:	SS2	Health Insurance - AUD 2016 HRA - Insurance AUD 2016		\$ 251.46 \$ 0.33	
			TOTAL:	\$	39,891.56

Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-o.

7) Water Resolutions:

a. Linwood Rd. North Extension to Consol. Water:

RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Gates and seconded by Mr. Smith to approve the establishment of the Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District as described. Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

Councilman Gates	Aye
Councilman Smith	Aye
Councilman Rose	Aye
Councilwoman Parnell	Aye
Supervisor Deming	Aye

RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Gates and seconded by Mr. Smith:

In the Matter of the Petition for the Establishment of a Water District Extension in the Town of York, Livingston County, New York, to be known as the "Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District"

RESOLUTION AND ORDER TO ESTABLISH DISTRICT EXTENSION PURSUANT TO TOWN LAW §194

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Town of York to create the Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District to include all of the real property indicated in the map plan and report filed herewith, said real property located in the Town of York, County of Livingston and State of New York; and

WHEREAS, the real property that will be included in the district is more particularly described in the said map, plan and report filed in the York Town Clerk's Office and as set forth herein below; and

WHEREAS, the Town of York Town Board, acting on behalf of the proposed Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District, has directed that the Town Engineer prepare a map, plan and report with respect to the proposed extension; and

WHEREAS, the Town of York Town Board thereafter directed that the charges incurred for the preliminary map, plan and report shall be a Town charge until such time as the water district extension formation shall take place at which time they shall become a charge of the Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District; and

WHEREAS, the map, plan and report have been filed in the office of the York Town Clerk; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board having previously complied with the relevant provisions of SEQR; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board received Petitions pursuant to New York State Town Law §191, by owners of taxable real property situate within the proposed district extension, seeking establishment of the proposed Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board, by Resolution made on February 9, 2017, received and reviewed said Petitions and determined that they complied with the requirements of New York State Town Law §191; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board, by Resolution and Order made on February 9, 2017, set a Public Hearing and ordered publication and notice of said Public Hearing to be made pursuant to New York State Town Law §193; and

WHEREAS, the notice of public hearing was properly published and posted pursuant to New York State Town Law §193; and

WHEREAS, the notice of public hearing contained all necessary information to comply with New York State Town Law §193; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on the 7th day of March, 2017 at 7:00 P.M. at the York Town Hall located at 2668 Main Street, York, New York, with respect to the proposed creation of the Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District to include the property noted in the map, plan and report filed in the York Town Clerk's Office and as more particularly described in the map, plan and report on file the York Town Clerk's Office, in the Town of York, County of Livingston and State of New York and all interested persons were provided with an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, a copy of the map, plan and report describing the proposed Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District boundaries and the improvements to be constructed therein was available for the public inspection at the York Town Clerk's Office and at the public hearing and is attached hereto and made a part hereof; and

WHEREAS, the Board having given due consideration to the testimony and evidence given at said Public Hearing; and

WHEREAS, the maximum amount proposed to be expended for the Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District improvements is \$132,756.00 with an estimated average cost for each taxable property within the "Area of Service" of \$900.00 per year which said amount is below the average estimated cost to typical properties or homes for the establishment or extension of similar districts as annually computed by the New York State Comptroller, all as set forth on the map, plan and report;

NOW THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED and ORDERED by the Town Board of the Town of York as follows:

- 1. That the notice of public hearing was published and posted as required by New York State Town Law §193;
- 2. That the petitions were signed, and acknowledged or proved, or authenticated, as required by law and are otherwise sufficient pursuant to New York State Town Law §191;
- 3. That all of the property and property owners within the proposed district extension are benefitted thereby;
- 4. That all of the property and property owners benefitted by the district extension are included within the limits of the proposed district extension; and
- 5. That it is in the public interest to form the district extension as set forth; and it is further

RESOLVED and ORDERED, that the Town Board does hereby approve the establishment of the Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District as herein after described:

All that tract or parcel of land situate in the Town of York, Livingston County, State of New York, being described as follows:

Beginning at a point being the center line of Linwood Road North (49.5 feet wide right-ofway) on the boundary line of the Town of York (Livingston County) and the Town of Pavilion (Genesee County); thence,

1. Easterly, along the northerly lines of tax account numbers 29.-1-4.211 and 29.-1-4.1, a distance of 1,466 feet, more or less, to a northeasterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-4.1; thence,

2. Southerly, along an easterly line of tax account number 29.-1-4.1, a distance of 333 feet, more or less, to a southeasterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-4.1; thence,

3. Easterly, along a northerly line of tax account number 29.-1-4.1, a distance of 1,084 feet, more or less, to a northeasterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-4.1; thence,

4. Southerly, along the easterly lines of tax account numbers 29.-1-4.1 and 29.-1-7, a distance of 2,073 feet, more or less, to a southeasterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-7; thence,

5. Westerly, along a southerly line of tax account number 29.-1-7, a distance of 1,014 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-16.1; thence,

6. Southerly, along the easterly lines of tax account numbers 29.-1-16.1 and 29.-1-21.11, a distance of 1,108 feet, more or less, to the northerly line of the Existing Town of York Consolidated Water District; thence,

7. Westerly, along the northerly line of the Existing Town of York Consolidated Water District, through the lands of tax account numbers 29.-1-21.11 and 29.-1-16.1, across Linwood Road North, and through the lands of tax account numbers 29.-1-20, 50.-1-43.1, and 29.-1-22.1, a distance of 2,749 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of tax account number 29.-1-22.1 on the boundary line of the Town of York and the Town of Pavilion; thence,

8. Northerly, along the westerly boundary line of the Town of York and the westerly lines of tax account numbers 29.-1-22.1, 29.-1-6.1, and 29.-1-1, a distance of 3,481 feet, more or less, to the northerly boundary line of the Town of York and the northwesterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-1; thence,

9. Easterly, along the northerly boundary line of the Town of York and the northerly lines of tax account numbers 29.-1-1 and 29.-1-2, and the easterly extension of the northerly line of tax account number 29.-1-2, a distance of 1,348 feet, more or less, to the center line of Linwood Road North and the Point of Beginning.

Town of York Consolidated Water District Linwood Road North Extension, as described above contains approximately 275.14 acres of land.

All as shown on a map prepared by Clark Patterson Lee, "Town of York Consolidated Water District Linwood Road North Water Extension," dated July 18, 2016; and it is further

RESOLVED and ORDERED, that the Town Clerk is directed to file a certified copy of this Resolution and Order in the Livingston County Clerk's Office and provide certified copies of this Resolution and Order for filing to the Office of the New York State Comptroller.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Resolution and Order was duly submitted for approval by vote of the York Town Board on Thursday, April 13, 2017 recorded as follows:

Vote of the Board: Yes-5, No-0.

Councilperson Gates	Aye
Councilperson Rose	Aye
Councilperson Smith	Aye
Deputy Supervisor Parnell	Aye
Supervisor Deming	Aye

This is to certify that **I**, the undersigned, Clerk of the Board of the Town of York of the County of Livingston, was duly adopted by the York Town Board of said Town on date above, and that the same is a true and correct transcript of said resolution.

In witness where of I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Town Clerk this 13th day of April, 2017.

Christine Harris, Town Clerk

b. Linwood Rd. N. Extension Area of Service:

RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Rose and seconded by Mr. Gates to create the Linwood Road North Extension Area of Service as described. Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

Councilman Gates	Aye
Councilman Smith	Aye
Councilman Rose	Aye
Councilwoman Parnell	Aye
Supervisor Deming	Aye

Resolution offered by Mr. Rose and seconded by Mr. Gates:

In the Matter of the Petition for the Establishment of an "Area of Service" within the Linwood Road North Extension of the Consolidated Water District of the Town of York, Livingston County, New York, to be known as the "Linwood Water Service Area"

RESOLUTION AND ORDER TO ESTABLISH AN AREA OF SERVICE WITHIN THE LINWOOD ROAD NORTH EXTENSION OF THE CONSOLIDATED WATER DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Town of York previously established the Town of York Consolidated Water District, said district located in the Town of York, County of Livingston and State of New York; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board received Petitions by owners of taxable real property situate within an area of the Town outside the Consolidated Water District, seeking establishment of an extension to the Consolidated Water District (hereafter "Linwood Road North Extension") to allow new infrastructure to be constructed which would initially permit water service to be extended to a portion of the properties located therein; and

WHEREAS, the Town of York Town Board previously directed that the Town Engineer prepare a map, plan and report with respect to the proposed Linwood Road North Extension; and

WHEREAS, the Town of York subsequently established pursuant to New York State Town Law §194, the Linwood Road North Extension to the Consolidated Water District; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the map, plan and report prepared by the Town Engineer, not all of the real property located within the Linwood Road North Extension will be able to receive water service through the infrastructure initially to be located within the Linwood Road North Extension; and

WHEREAS, the map, plan and report have been filed in the office of the York Town Clerk; and

WHEREAS, the Linwood Road North Extension Area of Service is more particularly described in the said map, plan and report filed in the York Town Clerk's Office and as set forth herein below; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board having previously complied with the relevant provisions of SEQR; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board, by Resolution and Order made on February 9, 2017, set a Public Hearing and ordered publication and notice of said Public Hearing to be made pursuant to New York State Law; and

WHEREAS, the notice of public hearing was properly published and posted pursuant to New York State Law; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on the 7th day of March, 2017 at 7:00 P.M. at the York Town Hall located at 2668 Main Street, York, New York, with respect to the proposed creation of the Linwood Road North Extension Area of Service within the Linwood Road North Extension to include the properties which would initially receive water service, all as noted and described in the map, plan and report filed in the York Town Clerk's Office and all interested persons were provided with an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, a copy of the map, plan and report describing the proposed Linwood Road North Extension Area of Service boundaries and the improvements to be constructed therein was available for the public inspection at the York Town Clerk's Office and at the public hearing and is attached hereto and made a part hereof; and

WHEREAS, the Board having given due consideration to the testimony and evidence given at said Public Hearing; and

WHEREAS, the maximum amount proposed to be expended for the Linwood Road North Extension is \$132,756.00 with an estimated average cost for each taxable property within the Linwood Road North Extension Area of Service of \$900.00 per year, all as set forth on the map, plan and report;

NOW THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED and ORDERED by the Town Board of the Town of York as follows:

- 1. That the notice of public hearing was published and posted as required by New York State Law;
- 2. That the petitions were signed, and acknowledged or proved, or authenticated, as required by law and are otherwise sufficient pursuant to New York State Law;
- 3. That all of the property and property owners within the proposed Linwood Road North Extension Area of Service will be benefitted by the improvements intended to be made therein;
- 4. That all of the property and property owners benefitted by the creation of the Linwood Road North Extension Area of Service and the improvements intended to be made therein are included within the limits of the proposed Linwood Road North Extension Area of Service; and
- 5. That it is in the public interest to form the Linwood Road North Extension Area of Service as set forth; and it is further

RESOLVED and ORDERED, that the Town Board does hereby create the Linwood Road North Extension Area of Service as herein after described:

All that tract or parcel of land situate in the Town of York, Livingston County, State of New York, being described as follows:

Beginning at a point being the northwesterly corner of the Existing Town of York Consolidated Water District, said point also being 3,481 feet southerly from the northwesterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-1; said point also being on the boundary line of the Town of York (Livingston County) and the Town of Pavilion (Genesee County); thence,

- 1. Northerly, along the boundary line of the Town of York (Livingston County) and the Town of Pavilion (Genesee County), a distance of 1,448 feet, more or less, to the westerly extension of the northerly line of tax account number 29.-1-8; thence
- 2. Easterly, along the westerly extension of the northerly line of tax account number 29.-1-8 and the northerly line of tax account number 29.-1-8, and the easterly extension of the northerly line of tax account number 29.-1-8, a distance of 2,029 feet, more or less, to a point on an easterly line of tax account number 29.-1-6.1; thence,
- 3. Southerly, along the easterly lines of tax account numbers 29.-1-6.1 and 29.-1-6.3, a distance of 380 feet, more or less, to a southeasterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-6.3; thence,
- 4. Westerly, along a southerly line of tax account number 29.-1-6.3, a distance of 313 feet, more or less, to a southwesterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-6.3; thence,
- 5. Southerly, along an easterly line of tax account number 29.-1-6.3, a distance of 33 feet, more or less, to a southeasterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-6.3; thence,
- 6. Easterly, along a southerly line of tax account number 29.-1-7, a distance of 1,057 feet, more or less, to a northeasterly corner of tax account number 29.-1-16.1; thence,
- 7. Southerly, along the easterly line of tax account number 29.-1-16.1 and 29.-1-21.11, a distance of 1,108 feet, more or less, to a point on the Existing Town of York Consolidated Water District; thence,
- 8. Westerly, along the northerly line of the Existing Town of York Consolidated Water District, a distance of 2,749 feet, more or less, to a point on the boundary line of the Town of York (Livingston County) and the Town of Pavilion (Genesee County) and the Point of Beginning.

Town of York Consolidated Water District Linwood Road North Extension, as described above contains approximately 87.54 acres of land.

All as shown on a map prepared by Clark Patterson Lee, "Town of York Consolidated Water District Linwood Road North Water Extension", dated March 7, 2016.

RESOLVED and ORDERED, that the Town Clerk is directed to file a certified copy of this Resolution and Order in the Livingston County Clerk's Office.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Resolution and Order was duly submitted for approval by vote of the York Town Board on Thursday, April 13, 2017 recorded as follows:

Vote of the Board: Yes-5, No-0.

Councilperson Gates	Aye
Councilperson Rose	Aye
Councilperson Smith	Aye
Deputy Supervisor Parnell	Aye
Supervisor Deming	Aye

This is to certify that **I**, the undersigned, Clerk of the Board of the Town of York of the County of Livingston, was duly adopted by the York Town Board of said Town on date above, and that the same is a true and correct transcript of said resolution.

In witness where of I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Town Clerk this 13th day of April, 2017.

Christine Harris, Town Clerk

c. Linwood Water Service Area: RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Smith and seconded by Mr. Rose to create the Linwood Water Service Area as described. Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

Councilman Gates	Aye
Councilman Smith	Aye
Councilman Rose	Aye
Councilwoman Parnell	Aye
Supervisor Deming	Aye

RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Smith and seconded by Mr. Rose:

WHEREAS, the notice of public hearing was properly published and posted pursuant to New York State Law; and

In the Matter of the Petition for the Establishment of an "Area of Service" within the Consolidated Water District of the Town of York, Livingston County, New York, to be known as the "Linwood Water Service Area"

RESOLUTION AND ORDER TO ESTABLISH AN AREA OF SERVICE WITHIN THE CONSOLIDATED WATER DISTRICT

WHEREAS, the Town of York previously established the Town of York Consolidated Water District, said district located in the Town of York, County of Livingston and State of New York; and

WHEREAS, not all of the real property located within the Consolidated Water District has been able to receive water service through the limited infrastructure located within the Consolidated Water District; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board received Petitions by owners of taxable real property situate within a portion of the Consolidated District, seeking establishment of the proposed Linwood Water Service Area to allow new infrastructure to be constructed which will permit water service to be extended to such properties; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board, by Resolution made on February 9, 2017, received and reviewed said Petitions and determined that they complied with the requirements of New York State Law; and

WHEREAS, the Town of York Town Board directed that the Town Engineer prepare a map, plan and report with respect to the proposed Linwood Water Service Area; and

WHEREAS, the map, plan and report have been filed in the office of the York Town Clerk; and

WHEREAS, the Linwood Water Service Area is more particularly described in the said map, plan and report filed in the York Town Clerk's Office and as set forth herein below; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board having previously complied with the relevant provisions of SEQR; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board, by Resolution and Order made on February 9, 2017, set a Public Hearing and ordered publication and notice of said Public Hearing to be made pursuant to New York State Law; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held on the 7th day of March, 2017 at 7:00 P.M. at the York Town Hall located at 2668 Main Street, York, New York, with respect to the proposed creation of the Linwood Water Service Area within the existing Consolidated Water District to include the property and infrastructure improvements noted in the map, plan and report filed in the York Town Clerk's Office and as more particularly described in the map, plan and report on file at the York Town Clerk's Office, in the Town of York, County of Livingston and State of New York and all interested persons were provided with an opportunity to be heard; and

WHEREAS, a copy of the map, plan and report describing the proposed Linwood Water Service Area boundaries and the improvements to be constructed therein was available for the public inspection at the York Town Clerk's Office and at the public hearing and is attached hereto and made a part hereof; and

WHEREAS, the Board having given due consideration to the testimony and evidence given at said Public Hearing; and

WHEREAS, the maximum amount proposed to be expended for the Linwood Water Service Area is \$647,244.00 with an estimated average cost for each taxable property within the Linwood Water Service Area of \$900.00 per year, all as set forth on the map, plan and report;

NOW THEREFORE, be it

RESOLVED and ORDERED by the Town Board of the Town of York as follows:

- 1. That the notice of public hearing was published and posted as required by New York State Law;
- 2. That the petitions were signed, and acknowledged or proved, or authenticated, as required by law and are otherwise sufficient pursuant to New York State Law;
- 3. That all of the property and property owners within the proposed Linwood Water Service Area will be benefitted by the improvements intended to be made therein;
- 4. That all of the property and property owners benefitted by the creation of the Linwood Water Service Area and the improvements intended to be made therin are included within the limits of the proposed Linwood Water Service Area; and
- 5. That it is in the public interest to form the Linwood Water Service Area as set forth; and it is further

RESOLVED and ORDERED, that the Town Board does hereby create the Linwood Water Service Area as herein after described:

All that tract or parcel of land situate in the Town of York, Livingston County, State of New York, being described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the center line of Federal Road (49.5 feet wide right-of-way) and the westerly extension of a southerly line of tax account number 40.-1-3.111; thence,

1. Northerly, along the center line of Federal Road, a distance of 595 feet, more or less, to the easterly extension of a southerly line of tax account number 40.-1-5.12; thence,

2. Westerly, along the easterly extension of the southerly line of tax account number 40.-1-5.12 and the southerly line of tax account number 40.-1-5.12, a distance of 43 feet, more or less, to an angle point; thence,

3. Southwesterly, along the southeasterly line of tax account number 40.-1-5.12, a distance of 594 feet, more or less, to the southerly line of tax account number 40.-1-5.12; thence,

4. Westerly, along the southerly lines of tax account numbers 40.-1-5.12 and 40.-1-2, a distance of 3,674, more or less, to the southwesterly corner of tax account number 40.-1-2; thence,

5. Southerly, along the easterly line of tax account number 40.-1-1.21, a distance of 69 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly corner of tax account number 40.-1-1.21; thence,

6. Westerly, along the southerly lines of tax account numbers 40.-1-1.21 and 39.-1-14, a distance of 2,253 feet, more or less, to an easterly line of tax account number 39.-1-14; thence,

7. Southerly, along an easterly line of tax account number 39.-1-14, a distance of 1,041 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly line of tax account number 50.-1-43.1; thence,

8. Southwesterly, through the lands of tax account number 50.-1-43.1, a distance of 132 feet, more or less, to a northeasterly corner of tax account number 39.-1-16 on the southwesterly line of tax account number 50.-1-43.1; thence,

9. Southeasterly, along the southwesterly line of tax account number 50.-1-43.1, a distance of 1,390 feet, more or less, to the northerly right-of-way line of Cowan Road (49.5 feet wide right-of-way); thence,

10. Westerly, along the northerly right-of-way line of Cowan Road, a distance of 86 feet, more or less, to the northerly extension of the westerly line of tax account number 50.-1-43.1; thence,

11. Southerly, along the westerly line of tax account number 50.-1-43.1, a distance of 1,738 feet, more or less, to a southeasterly corner of tax account number 39.-1-18; thence,

12. Westerly, along the southerly line of tax account number 39.-1-18, a distance of 2,856 feet, more or less, to a point 500 feet easterly of and parallel to the center line of Stewart Road (49.5 feet wide right-of-way); thence,

13. Southerly, through the lands of tax account number 39.-1-21.12, along a line 500 feet easterly of and parallel to the center line of Stewart Road, a distance of 900 feet, more or less, to the westerly extension of a northerly line of tax account number 39.-1-21.111; thence,

14. Easterly, through the lands of tax account number 39.-1-21.12, along the westerly extension of the northerly line of tax account number 39.-1-21.111 and the northerly line of tax account number 39.-1-21.111, a distance of 430 feet, more or less, to a northeasterly corner of tax account number 39.-1-21.111; thence,

15. Southerly, along the easterly lines of tax account numbers 39.-1-21.111 and 39.-1-21.112, a distance of 1,730 feet, more or less, to the northerly right-of-way line of York Road West (49.5 feet wide right-of-way); thence,

16. Westerly, along the northerly right-of-way line of York Road West, a distance of 78 feet, more or less, to the northerly extension of the easterly line of tax account number 49.-1-4.2; thence,

17. Southerly, along the northerly extension of the easterly line of tax account number 49.-1-4.2 and the easterly line of tax account number 49.-1-4.2, a distance of 216 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly corner of tax account number 49.-1-4.2; thence,

18. Westerly, along the southerly line of tax account number 49.-1-4.2, a distance of 380 feet, more or less, to a point 500 feet easterly of and parallel to the center line of Stewart Road; thence,

19. Southerly, through the lands of tax account number 49.-1-4.1, along a line 500 feet easterly of and parallel to the center line of Stewart Road, a distance of 815 feet, more or less, to a northerly line of tax account number 49.-1-5; thence,

20. Easterly, along a northerly line of tax account number 49.-1-5, a distance of 1,676 feet, more or less, to a northeasterly corner of tax account number 49.-1-5; thence,

21. Southerly, along the easterly lines of tax account numbers 49.-1-5 and 49.-1-6.2, a distance of 2,524 feet, more or less, to a point 500 feet northerly of and parallel to the center line of Craig Road (49.5 feet wide right-of-way); thence,

22. Easterly, through the lands of tax account number 50.-1-1.11, along a line 500 feet northerly of and parallel to the center line of Craig Road, a distance of 1,096 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of tax account number 50.-1-2; thence,

23. Northerly, along the westerly line of tax account number 50.-1-2, a distance of 129 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly corner of tax account number 50.-1-2; thence,

24. Easterly, along the northerly line of tax account number 50.-1-2, a distance of 560 feet, more or less, to the westerly line of tax account number 50.-1-4; thence,

25. Northerly, along the westerly line of tax account number 50.-1-4, a distance of 515 feet, more or less, to the northwesterly corner of tax account number 50.-1-4; thence,

26. Easterly, along the northerly line of tax account number 50.-1-4, a distance of 2,221 feet, more or less, to the northeasterly corner of tax account number 50.-1-4; thence,

27. Southeasterly, along the northeasterly lines of tax account numbers 50.-1-4 and 50.-1-5.1, a distance of 2,027 feet, more or less, to the northerly right-of-way line of Craig Road; thence,

28. Southerly, along a line measured perpendicular to the southerly right-of-way line of Craig Road, a distance of 50 feet, more or less, to the southerly right-of-way line of Craig Road; thence,

29. Westerly, along the southerly line of Craig Road, a distance of 408 feet, more or less, to an easterly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113; thence,

30. Southerly, along an easterly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113, a distance of 974 feet, more or less, to a northerly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113; thence,

31. Easterly, along a northerly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113, a distance of 331 feet, more or less, to an easterly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113; thence,

32. Southerly, along an easterly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113, a distance of 666 feet, more or less, to a southerly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113; thence,

33. Westerly, along a southerly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113, a distance of 778 feet, more or less, to a westerly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113; thence,

34. Southerly, along an easterly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113, a distance of 169 feet, more or less, to a southerly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113; thence,

35. Westerly, along a southerly line of tax account number 50.-1-35.113, a distance of 724 feet, more or less, to the easterly line of tax account number 50.-1-38; thence,

36. Southerly, along the easterly line of tax account number 50.-1-38, a distance of 720 feet, more or less, to the southeasterly corner of tax account number 50.-1-38; thence,

37. Westerly, along the southerly lines of tax account numbers 50.-1-38, 50.-1-40.2, and 49.-1-11, a distance of 4,202 feet, more or less, to an easterly line of tax account number 49.-1-12.11; thence,

38. Southerly, along an easterly line of tax account number 49.-1-12.11, a distance of 1,454 feet, more or less to the southeasterly corner of tax account number 49.-1-12.11; thence,

39. Westerly, along a southerly line of tax account number 49.-1-12.11, a distance of 2,599 feet, more or less, to the southwesterly corner of tax account number 49.-1-12.11 and the westerly boundary line of the Town of York; thence,

40. Northerly, along the westerly boundary line of the Town of York, a distance of 16,430 feet, more or less, to a point 500 feet northerly of and parallel to the center line of Linwood Road (49.5 feet wide right-of-way); thence,

41. Easterly, through the lands of tax account numbers 29.-1-22.1, 50.-1-43.1, 29.-1-20, 29.-1-16.1, 29.-1-21.11, 39.-1-14, 30.-1-48.1, 30.-1-49, 30.-1-47.1, 30.-1-47.2, 40.-1-2, 30.-1-42.132, and 30.-1-41.4, along a line 500 feet northerly of and parallel to the center line of Linwood Road, a distance of 9,856 feet, more or less, to a point 500 feet easterly of and parallel to the center line of Federal Road; thence,

42. Southerly, through the lands of tax account numbers 30.-1-41.4, 30.-1-41.214, and 40.-1-3.111, along a line 500 feet easterly of and parallel to the center line of Federal Road, a distance of 2,238 feet, more or less, to a southerly line of tax account number 40.-1-3.111; thence,

43. Westerly, along a southerly line of tax account number 40.-1-3.111 and the westerly extension of a southerly line of tax account number 40.-1-3.111, a distance of 500 feet, more or less, to the center line of Federal Road and the Point of Beginning.

Excepting from the above Water District description, those lands designated as tax account number 49.-1-12.12.

Town of York Consolidated Water District — Linwood Water Service Area, as described above contains approximately 1,763.93 acres of land.

All as shown on a map prepared by Clark Patterson Lee, "Town of York Consolidated Water District — Linwood Water Service Area", dated July 18, 2016.

RESOLVED and ORDERED, that the Town Clerk is directed to file a certified copy of this Resolution and Order in the Livingston County Clerk's Office.

The question of the adoption of the foregoing Resolution and Order was duly submitted for approval by vote of the York Town Board on Thursday, April 13, 2017 recorded as follows:

Vote of the Board: Yes-5, No-0.

Councilperson Gates	Aye
Councilperson Rose	Aye
Councilperson Smith	Aye
Deputy Supervisor Parnell	Aye
Supervisor Deming	Aye

This is to certify that I, the undersigned, Clerk of the Board of the Town of York of the County of Livingston, was duly adopted by the York Town Board of said Town on date above, and that the same is a true and correct transcript of said resolution.

In witness where of I have hereunto set my hand and the official seal of the Town Clerk this 13th day of April, 2017.

Christine Harris, Town Clerk

<u>OTHER</u>

1) Davies Nagel:

Clerk Harris reported that she recently spoke with CAC member, Davies Nagel. Mr. Nagel conveyed his request to inquire to the Board the status/timetable for the High Impact Industrial Use document. Supervisor Deming commented that he spoke with Mr. Nagel a couple of weeks ago about the matter and informed him to speak with his CAC members to compile any potential changes or comments on the proposed law and then contact Mr. Campbell for his review. Mr. Campbell stated he has not received any telephone calls.

BILLS

RESOLUTION offered by Mr. Gates and seconded by Mr. Rose to approve all claims brought before the Board for the March-2017 Meeting. Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

RESOLUTION offered by Mrs. Parnell and seconded by Mr. Smith to approve all claims brought before the Board for the April-2017 Meeting. Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

General Fund Claim	#58-116	\$31,442.23
Joint Water & Sewer	#42-91	\$34,471.69
Light District	#3-4	\$ 3,415.07
Capital Fund	#1	\$ 8,206.08
Youth Fund	#2-4	\$ 502.15
Highway Fund Claim	#36-77	\$41,030.95

ADJOURNMENT

RESOLUTION offered by Mrs. Parnell and seconded by Mr. Smith to adjourn the Town Board meeting until May 11th. Voted on and approved, Yes-5, No-0.

Town Board Meeting closed at 8:45 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Christine M. Harris, Clerk